



مجلة جامعة السعيد للعلوم التطبيقية

Al - Saeed University Journal of Applied Sciences

journal@alsaeeduni.edu.ye

Vol (7), No(1), Jun., 2024

المجلد (7)، العدد (1)، 2024م

ISSN: 2616 – 6305 (Print)

ISSN: 2790-7554 (Online)



On Projective Motion in an N- Trirecurrent Finsler Space

Wafa'a Hadi Ali Hadi

Dept. of Math. Community college

Aden – Yemen

Amani Mohammed Abdullah Hanbala

Dept. of Math. Community college

Aden – Yemen

Abdalstar Ali Mohsen Saleem

Dept. of Math., Faculty of Sciences

Aden, Univ. of Aden – Yemen

Received: 30/10/2023

Accepted: 20/1/2024

Journal Website:

<https://journal.alsaeeduni.edu.ye>

حول الحركة الإسقاطية في فضاء

N- Trirecurrent Finsler

وفاء هادي علي هادي
قسم الرياضيات، كلية المجتمع
عدن - اليمن

أماني محمد عبدالله حنبلة
قسم الرياضيات، كلية المجتمع
عدن - اليمن

عبدالستار علي محسن سليم
قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم
جامعة عدن، عدن - اليمن

الملخص

في هذه الورقة البحثية تم الحصول على الشروط اللازمة والكافية للحركة الإسقاطية بأن تكون حركة أفينية، تم دراسة الحركة الإسقاطية في فضاء فنسler-N والتي تحقق الخاصية الثلاثية المعاودة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: فضاء فنسler ثلاثي المعاودة، الحركة الأفينية والحركة الإسقاطية.

On Projective Motion in an N- Trirecurrent Finsler Space

Wafa'a Hadi Ali Hadi

Dept. of Math. Community college
Aden – Yemen

Amani Mohammed Abdullah Hanbala

Dept. of Math. Community college
Aden – Yemen

Abdalstar Ali Mohsen Saleem

Dept. of Math., Faculty of Sciences
Aden, Univ. of Aden – Yemen

Abstract

In the present paper, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the projective motion to be affine motion are obtained. Projective motion is studied in trirecurrent Finsler space.

Keywords: Trirecurrent Finsler space, affine motion and projective motion.

1. Introduction

Let us consider an n-dimensional affine connected Finsler space F_n with a positively homogeneous metric function $F(x, y)$ of degree one in y^i .

The fundamental metric tensor g_{ij} of F_n is given by

$$(1.1) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j F^2(x, y).$$

The tensor $g_{ij}(x, y)$ is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and symmetric in i and j .

The covariant derivative of any vector field X^i with respect to x^j is given by [14]

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_j X^i = \partial_j X^i - (\dot{\partial}_k X^i) \Pi_{rj}^k y^r + X^k \Pi_{kj}^i,$$

where

$$\Pi_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} y^i G_{jkr}^r.$$

The normal projective connection Π_{jk}^i and the connection parameters G_{jk}^i are positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and skew –symmetric [14].

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i is given by

$$(1.3) \quad N_{jkh}^i = 2\{\dot{\partial}_j \Pi_{[kh]}^i + \Pi_{rj[h}^i \Pi_{k]s}^r y^s + \Pi_{r[h}^i \Pi_{k]j}^r\},$$

where $[kh]$ represents skew – symmetric part. The derivatives $\dot{\partial}_j \Pi_{kh}^i$ is denoted by Π_{jkh}^i , and given by

$$\Pi_{jkh}^i = G_{jkh}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} (\delta_j^i G_{khr}^r + y^i G_{jchr}^r),$$

where is symmetric in k and h only, and is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i . That's tensor satisfies the following identity

$$(1.4) \quad \Pi_{jkh}^i y^j = 0.$$

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i is skew-symmetric in its last two indices, i.e.

$$(1.5) N_{jkh}^i = -N_{jhk}^i.$$

Also, this tensor satisfies the following identity [13]

$$(1.6) N_{jkh}^i + N_{khj}^i + N_{hjk}^i = 0.$$

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i is related with Berwald curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i by

$$(1.7) N_{jkh}^i = H_{jkh}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} y^i \hat{\partial}_j H_{rkh}^r,$$

where the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is positively homogeneous of degree zero in y^i and skew-symmetric in its last two indices which is given by

$$(1.8) H_{jkh}^i := 2\{\partial_{[h} G_{k]j}^i + G_{j[k}^r G_{h]r}^i - G_{rj[k}^i G_{h]}^r\}.$$

The curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i satisfies the following identities

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{a) } H_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i = N_{jkh}^i y^j & \text{b) } H_{kh}^i y^k = H_h^i \\ \text{c) } H_i^i = (n-1)H & \text{d) } H_{ki}^i = H_k \end{array}$$

The commutation formula involving the above curvature tensor, and given by

$$(1.10) 2 \mathcal{B}_{[l} \mathcal{B}_{m]} T_j^i = T_j^r N_{lmr}^i - T_r^i N_{lmj}^r - (\hat{\partial}_r T_j^i) N_{lms}^r y^s.$$

In particular, the Berwald covariant derivative of the vector y^i vanishes identically, i.e.

$$(1.11) \mathcal{B}_k y^i = 0.$$

Definition 1.1.

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation [8]

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i = \lambda_l N_{jkh}^i, N_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where λ_l is a non – zero recurrence vector field, the space is called *recurrent Finsler space* ([4], [12], [13]).

Transvecting (1.12) by y^j , using (1.9) and (1.11), we get

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_l H_{kh}^i = \lambda_l H_{kh}^i.$$

Definition 1. 2.

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation [8]

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i = a_{lm} N_{jkh}^i, \quad N_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where a_{lm} is a non – zero recurrence tensor field, the space is called *birecurrent Finsler space* [1].

Transvecting (1.14) by y^j , using (1.9) and (1.11), we get

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H_{kh}^i = a_{lm} H_{kh}^i.$$

Definition 1. 3.

The normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i = c_{lmn} N_{jkh}^i, \quad N_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where c_{lmn} is a non – zero recurrence tensor field of order three, the space is called *trirecurrent Finsler space* [1].

Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation

$$(1.17) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + \epsilon v^i(x^j),$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal constant and $v^i(x^j)$ is called *contravariant vector field* independent of y^i . Also, this transformation gives rise to a process of differentiation called *Lie- differentiation*.

Let X^i be an arbitrary contravariant vector field. Its Lie- derivative with respect to the above infinitesimal transformation is given by ([7], [9], [14])

$$(1.18) L_v X^i = v^r \mathcal{B}_r X^i - X^r \mathcal{B}_r v^i + (\dot{\partial}_r X^i) \mathcal{B}_s v^r y^s,$$

where the symbol L_v stands for the Lie-differentiation.

In view of (1.17) the Lie-derivatives of y^i and v^i with respect to above infinitesimal transformation vanish, i.e.

$$(1.19) a) L_v y^i = 0,$$

and

$$b) L_v v^i = 0.$$

Lie-derivative of an arbitrary tensor T_j^i with respect to the above infinitesimal transformation is given by

$$(1.20) L_v T_j^i = v^r \mathcal{B}_r T_j^i - T_j^r \mathcal{B}_r v^i + T_r^i \mathcal{B}_j v^r + (\dot{\partial}_r T_j^i) \mathcal{B}_s v^r y^s.$$

Lie-derivative of the normal projective connection parameters Π_{jk}^i is given by [14]

$$(1.21) L_v \Pi_{jk}^i = \mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_k v^i + N_{rjk}^i v^r + \Pi_{rjk}^i y^s \mathcal{B}_s v^r.$$

The commutation formula for the operators \mathcal{B}_k , $\dot{\partial}_j$ and L_v are given by ([5],[12])

$$(1.22) (L_v \mathcal{B}_k - \mathcal{B}_k L_v) X^i = X^h L_v \Pi_{kh}^i - (\dot{\partial}_r X^r) L_v \Pi_{kh}^i y^h,$$

where X^i is a *contravariant vector field*.

The infinitesimal transformation (1.17) defines a motion, affine motion or projective motion if it preserves the distance between two points, parallelism of pair of vector or the geodesics, respectively. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the transformation (1.17) to be a motion, affine motion and projective motion are respectively given by [4]

$$(1.23) L_v g_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(1.24) L_v \Pi_{kh}^i = 0,$$

and

$$(1.25) L_v \Pi_{jk}^i = \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j,$$

where P_j is defined as

$$(1.26) P_j = \hat{\partial}_j P,$$

P being a scalar, positively homogeneous of degree one in y^i .

Transvecting (1.26) by y^j , using homogeneity of P_j [10], we get

$$(1.27) P_j y^j = P.$$

Let an infinitesimal transformation (1.17) be generated by a vector field $v^i(x^j)$.

The vector field $v^i(x^j)$ is called *contra*, *concurrent* and *special concircular* according as it satisfies

$$(1.28) a) B_k v^i = 0,$$

$$b) B_k v^i = c \delta_k^i, \quad c \text{ being a constant}$$

and

$$c) B_k v^i = \rho \delta_k^i, \quad \rho \text{ is not a constant,}$$

respectively. The affine motion generated by the above vectors is called *contra affine motion*, *concurrent affine motion* and *special concircular*, respectively.

Several results by authors extended to Finsler spaces of recurrent curvature by R. B. Misra, N. Kishore, and P. N. Pandey [6], A. Kumar, H. S. Shulka and R. P. Tripathi [2], S. P. Singh ([10], [11]) and others. C. K. Misra and D. D. S. Yadav [3] and S. P. Singh [12] discussed the affine motion in birecurrent non - Riemannian space.

2. Projective Motion in an N- Trirecurrent Finsler Space

Definition 2. 1.

A trirecurrent Finsler space characterized by (1.16) in which infinitesimal transformation (1.17) defines a projective motion is called *projective trirecurrent Finsler space* briefly denoted by $NT - P\bar{F}_n$.

Lie-derivative of the normal projective curvature tensor N_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation

$$(2.1) L_v N_{jkh}^i = 2\mathcal{B}_{[j}P_{k]} \delta_h^i - 2\delta_{[j}^i \mathcal{B}_{k]} P_h - 2P\Pi_{[jk]h}^i.$$

Transvecting (2.1) by y^j , using (1.4), (1.9a), (1.11), (1.19a) and (1.27), we get

$$(2.2) L_v H_{kh}^i = \delta_h^i \mathcal{B}_k P - y^i \mathcal{B}_k P_h.$$

In view of (1.5) and (1.11), applying Lie -derivative to (1.16) and observing (2.1), we get

$$(2.3) L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i = (L_v c_{lmn}) N_{jkh}^i + c_{lmn} (2\mathcal{B}_{[j}P_{k]} \delta_h^i - 2\delta_{[j}^i \mathcal{B}_{k]} P_h - 2P\Pi_{h[jk]}^i)$$

Transvecting (2.3) by y^j , using (1.4), (1.9a), (1.11), (1.19a) and (1.27), we get

$$(2.4) L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H_{kh}^i = (L_v c_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i + c_{lmn} (\delta_h^i \mathcal{B}_k P - y^i \mathcal{B}_k P_h).$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.1.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits a projective motion, the relations (2.3) and (2.4) holds.

Transvecting (2.3) by y^h , using (1.9b), (1.19a) and (1.27), we get

$$(2.5) L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H_k^i = (L_v c_{lmn}) H_k^i.$$

Contracting the indices i and k in (2.5) and using (1.9c), we get

$$(2.6) L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H = (L_v c_{lmn}) H.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.4), using (1.9d), (1.11) and (1.27), we get

$$(2.7) L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H_k = (L_v c_{lmn}) H_k.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.2.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits a projective motion, the division tensor of Berwald curvature tensor H_k^i , the scalar tensor H and the vector tensor H_k satisfied the relations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) respectively.

When the projective motion becomes an affine motion, the condition $L_v \Pi_{kh}^i = 0$ is satisfied. If we apply this condition in (1.25), we get

$$(2.8) \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j = 0.$$

Contracting the indices i and k in (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) (1 + n)P_j = 0,$$

which implies

$$(2.10) P_j = 0.$$

Conversely, if (2.10) is true, the equation (1.25) reduces to $L_v \Pi_{kh}^i = 0$. i.e. the necessary and sufficient condition for the infinitesimal transformation (1.17) which defines a projective motion to be an affine motion.

Using the equations (1.27) and (2.10) in (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$(2.11) \quad L_v N_{jkh}^i = 0,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad L_v H_{kh}^i = 0.$$

Again, Using the equations (1.27) and (2.10) in (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(2.13) \quad L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i = (L_v c_{lmn}) N_{jkh}^i, \quad N_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad L_v \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l H_{kh}^i = (L_v c_{lmn}) H_{kh}^i.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.3.

In an NT – $P\bar{F}_n$, if the projective motion becomes an affine motion, then the relations (2.13) and (2.14) are necessarily true.

From (2.13), we get

$$(2.15) \quad (L_v c_{lmn}) N_{jkh}^i = 0, \quad N_{jkh}^i \neq 0, \text{ then we get}$$

$$(2.16) \quad L_v c_{lmn} = 0$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.4.

In an NT – $P\bar{F}_n$, if the projective motion becomes an affine motion, then the recurrence tensor field c_{lmn} satisfies the identity (2.16).

Applying skew – symmetric of (2.16), we get

$$(2.17) L_v c_{lmn} + L_v c_{mnl} + L_v c_{nlm} = 0$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.5.

In an NT – $P\bar{F}_n$, if the projective motion becomes an affine motion, then the recurrence tensor field c_{lmn} satisfies the identity (2.17).

3. Special cases

Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation generated by contra vector $v^i(x^j)$ characterized by (1.28a).

Taking the covariant derivative for (1.28a) with respect to x^j in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.1) \mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_k v^i = 0.$$

Using equations (1.25), (1.28a), (1.4) and (3.1) in equation (1.21), we get

$$(3.2) N_{jkh}^i v^h = \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j.$$

Differentiating (3.2) covariant with respect to x^l, x^m and x^n in sense of Berwald and using (1.28a), we get

$$(3.3) \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i v^h = \delta_j^i \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k + \delta_k^i \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_j.$$

Using equations (1.16) and (3.2) in equation (3.3), we get

$$(3.4) \delta_j^i (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k - c_{lmn} P_k) + \delta_k^i (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_j - c_{lmn} P_j) = 0.$$

Contracting the indices i and j in (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k = c_{lmn} P_k.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.1.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, if the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans contra affine motion, then the vector P_k is trirecurrent.

Transvecting (3.5) by y^k , using (1.11) and (1.27), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P = c_{lmn} P.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.2.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, if the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans contra affine motion, then the scalar function P is trirecurrent.

If we adopt the similar process for (1.28b), we get the following theorems:

Theorem 3.3.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, if the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans concircular affine motion, then the vector P_k is trirecurrent.

Theorem 3.4.

In an $NT - P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, if the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans concircular affine motion, then the scalar function P is tirecurrent.

Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation generated by contra vector $v^i(x^j)$ characterized by (1.28c).

Taking the covariant derivative for (1.28c) with respect to x^j in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{B}_k v^i = \mathcal{B}_j \rho \delta_k^i.$$

Using equations (1.25), (1.28c), (1.4) and (3.7) in equation (1.21), we get

$$(3.8) \quad N_{jkh}^i v^h = \delta_j^i P_k + \delta_k^i P_j - \mathcal{B}_j \rho \delta_k^i.$$

Differentiating (3.8) covariant with respect to x^l , x^m and x^n , respectively in the sense of Berwald and using (1.28c), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l N_{jkh}^i v^h = \delta_j^i \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k + \delta_k^i \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_j - \delta_k^i \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_j \rho.$$

Using equations (1.16) and (3.8) in equation (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \delta_j^i (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k - c_{lmn} P_k) + \delta_k^i (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_j - c_{lmn} P_j) - \delta_k^i (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_j \rho - c_{lmn} \mathcal{B}_j \rho) = 0.$$

Contracting the indices i and j in (3.10), we get

$$(3.11) \quad (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k - c_{lmn} P_k) - (\mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_k \rho - c_{lmn} \mathcal{B}_k \rho) = 0.$$

If

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_k \rho - c_{lmn} \mathcal{B}_k \rho = 0.$$

Then we can write equation (3.11) as:

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P_k = c_{lmn} P_k.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.5.

In an NT – $P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans special concircular affine motion then the vector P_k satisfied the trirecurrent property if condition (3.12) hold.

Transvecting (3.11) by y^k , using (1.11) and (1.27), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_l P = c_{lmn} P.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.6.

In an NT – $P\bar{F}_n$, which admits projective motion, if the vector field $v^i(x^j)$ spans special concircular affine motion, then the scalar function P is trirecurrent.

References:

- [1] **Dikshit, S.** (1992): *Certain Types of Recurrences in Finsler Spaces*, D.Phil. Thesis, Univ.Allahabad, (Allahabad) (India), 84 -99.
- [2] **Kumar, A., Shulka, H. S. and Tripathi R. P.** (2000): On the Existence of Projective Affine Motion in a W-Recurrent Finsler Space, Tamkang J. of Math., Vol. 31, No. 1, Spring, 71-78.
- [3] **Misra, C. K. and Yadav, D. D. S.** (2007): Projective Curvature inheritance in an NP- F_n . Diff. Geom.- Dyna. Sys. Vol. 9, 111-121.
- [4] **Misra, R.B. and Meher, F. M.** (1971): A Projective Motion in an RNP-Finsler Space, Tensor N.S., 22, 117-120.
- [5] **Misra, S. B. and Misra, A. K.** (1984): Affine Motion in RNP-Finsler Space. J. pure appl. Math., 15 (5), 463-472.
- [6] **Misra, R. B., Kishore, N. and Pandey, P. N.** (1977): Projective Motion in an SNP- F_n . Boll. U. M.I., 14A (5), 513-519.
- [7] **Rund, H.** (1981): *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen-Heidelberg, (1959); 2nd Edit. (in Russian), Nauka, (Moscow),172 – 181.
- [8] **Saleem, A.A.M.** (2021): On certain projective motion in an N-birecurrent Finsler space. Univ.Aden J. Nat. and Appl.Sc.Vol.25 No.1,159-165.
- [9] **Saxena, S. and Pandey, P. N.** (2011): On Lie-recurrence in Finsler Spaces, Diff. Geom. Dynam. Syst. Vol.13, 201-207.
- [10] **Singh, S. P.** (2002): On Projective Motion in Finsler Space, Prog. of Math., 36, 151-158.
- [11] **Singh, S. P.** (2003): Projective Curvature inheritance in Finsler Space, Tensor, N.S., 64, 218-226.
- [12] **Singh, S. P.** (2010): Projective Motion in Birecurrent Finsler Space, Diff. Geom. Dynam. Syst. Vol. 12, 221-227.
- [13] **Singh, U. P. and Singh, A. K.** (1981): On the N-Curvature Collineation in Finsler Space II, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12, 1208-1212.
- [14] **Yano, K.** (1957): *The Theory of Lie-Derivatives and its Applications*, North – Holland publishing Co., (Amsterdam), 194 – 204.